

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/783,621           | 02/20/2004                              | Anoop Mukker         | 42P18614            | 7209             |
| 59796<br>INTEL CORPO | 7590 10/19/2007<br>ORATION              |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| c/o INTELLEVATE, LLC |   |                      | MCFADDEN, MICHAEL B |                  |
|                      | P.O. BOX 52050<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| •                    |   |                      | 2188                |                  |
|                      |   |                      |                     |                  |
|                      |   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                      | •                                       |                      | 10/19/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary  |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|  |  | 10/783,621   | MUKKER ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Michael B. McFadden  | 2188  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISING SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirged apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 August 2007</u> .  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)🖂  | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-16,18-22 and 24-34</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5)   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-16,18-22 and 24-34</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | ion Papers   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) 🗌   | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.                |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).                      |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).     |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Office   | e Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage                        |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.                                   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | ,  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4)   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Infor   | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date  | 5) Notice of Informal I  |   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/783,621

Art Unit: 2188

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

# Status of Claims

- 1. Claims 1-4, 6-16, 18-22, and 24-34 are pending in the Application.
- 2. Claims 5, 17, 23, and 35-40 are cancelled in the Application.

### Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 06 August 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-16, 18-22, and 24-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Cai et al. (US Patent No. 6,349,363 (herein after Cai)) and further in view of Gaither (US Patent No. 6,434,672).
- 6. Regarding Claims 1, 7, 13, 19, and 25, Cai discloses partitioning a cache array into one or more special-purpose entries and one or more general-purpose entries (Cai: Column 2, Lines 57-60), wherein special-purpose entries are only allocated for one or more streams having a particular stream ID, wherein the stream ID is stored outside the cache array; (Cai: Column 3, Lines 43-49 and Column 4, Lines 25-42) determining if

Application/Control Number: 10/783,621 Page 3

Art Unit: 2188

a cross-access scenario exists between at least one of the one or more special purpose entries and at least one of the one or more general purpose entries. (Cai: Column 6, Lines 17-19) Snooping allows the caches to know if they contain the same information as another cache in the same system and if a write operation is being performed on the information. Therefore, snooping does determine if a cross access scenario exists.

- 7. Cai fails to disclose if the cross-access scenario exists, permitting cross-access of data between the at least one of the one or more special-purpose entries and the at least one of the one or more general-purpose entries that relate to the cross-access scenario.
- 8. Gaither discloses if the cross-access scenario exists, permitting cross-access of data between the at least one of the one or more special-purpose entries and the at least one of the one or more general-purpose entries that relate to the cross-access scenario. (Gaither: Column 4, Lines 57-62 and Column 5, Lines 43-47.)
- 9. Cai and Gaither are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, memory architecture.
- 10. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the snarf capability of Gaither in the system of Cai.
- 11. The motivation for doing so would have been ensuring the coherency of the cached data and therefore improving cache efficiency. (Gaither: Column 4, Lines 60-62.)

Application/Control Number: 10/783,621

Art Unit: 2188

12. Therefore it would have been obvious to include the snarf capability of Gaither in the system of Cai for the benefit of ensuring the coherency of the cached data and therefore improving cache efficiency to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Page 4

- 13. Regarding Claims 2, 8, 14, 20, and 30, Cai and Gaither disclose allocating the one or more special purpose entries based on the particular stream ID and a particular input address. (Cai: Column 3, Lines 43-49 and Column 4, Lines 25-42 and Figure 3, Element 162). The EID coupled with input address locate where the entries, in the cache, will be placed. A cache input address is inherent in accessing stored data because that is how data is located in a cache.
- 14. Regarding Claims 3, 9, 15, and 21, Cai and Gaither disclose storing data from the one or more streams in the one or more special-purpose entries when the particular stream ID and the particular input address match a predetermined stream ID and a predetermined input address; and storing data from the one or more streams in the one or more general-purpose entries when the particular stream ID and the particular input address do not match the predetermined stream ID and the predetermined input address. (Cai: Column 3, Lines 43-49 and Column 4, Lines 25-42 and Figure 3, Element 162). The EID coupled with input address locate where the entries, in the cache, will be placed. A cache input address is inherent in accessing stored data because that is how data is located in a cache. The general-purpose entries would be stored in the default cache with the "default EID identifier".
- 15. **Regarding Claims 4, 10, 16, 22, 28, 29, 31, and 32,** Cai and Gaither disclose determining when the particular stream ID and the particular input address match the

predetermined stream ID and the predetermined input address using special-purpose control logic; and using a cache replacement algorithm implemented using general-purpose control logic for the one or more general-purpose entries. (Cai: Column 3, Lines 1-13 and Column 4, Lines 9-25 and Column 5, Line 62 – Column 6, Line 7). The memory controller manages multiple cache partitions and is able to differentiate multiple EIDs. Being able to differentiate between more than two EIDs shows that special logic is used to determine the cache location from the address and EID.

- 16. **Regarding Claims 6, 12, 18, 24, 26, 34,** Cai and Gaither disclose wherein the special-purpose stream includes a data stream and wherein the system contains an I/O hub interface connected to a bus, a processor interface; and a host AGP controller connected to the system memory controller via the bus; wherein the cache array receives the cache operation requesting data via the one or more interfaces, and returns a cache hit in response to the cache operation, wherein the cache has a pending fetch for the data in response to a prior cache operation requesting the data. **(Cai: Column 6, Line 60 Column 7, Line 5)**
- 17. Regarding Claim 11 and 33, Cai and Gaither disclose a DRAM controller integrated with the cache memory array. (Cai: Column 5, Lines 12-16) A DRAM is controlled by a DRAM controller.
- 18. **Regarding Claim 27,** Cai and Gaither disclose wherein the processor interface connects a plurality of processors (**Figure 2 and Column 6, Line 44 Column 7, Line 14)**, the plurality of processors including a 16-bit processor and a 64-bit processor.

Art Unit: 2188

From Figure 2 it can be seen that the caches are connected to a memory hub.

Also connected to the hub are many devices. The text also discloses the caches connected to the multiple devices and controllers. The various devices and controllers inherently have their own processors. Therefore disclosing a plurality of processors. The size of the processors is a design choice and therefore does not carry patentable weight.

# Response to Arguments

- 19. Applicant's arguments filed on 06 August 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 20. Regarding Claims 1, 17, 13, 19, 25, and 30, the Applicant contends that Gaither fails to show permitting a cross-access scenario of separate cache entries in a single cache. However, the claims do not recite the limitation that the cross-access scenario must exist within one cache. The Office believes that Applicant is reading limitations from the specification into the claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Furthermore, the claims disclose partitioning the cache into two segments. It would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that a single cache partitioned into two parts functions like two separate caches. The Applicant further contends that Gaither uses three caches and therefore increases latency and teaches away from the invention. However, the claims do not recite the limitation

Art Unit: 2188

that the cross-access scenario must not utilize an outside cache. The Office believes that Applicant is reading limitations from the specification into the claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Furthermore, the only thing taken from Gaither is the ability to perform the snarf operation. This functionality does not require the use of a third cache. It is the ability to acquire the cache line that is modified when a snoop operation detects a write to a shared cache line.

21. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,621 Page 8

Art Unit: 2188

### **Conclusion**

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. McFadden whose telephone number is (571)272-8013. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sam Sough can be reached on (571)272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MBM 10/09/2007

UPERVISION ON THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

10/12/07